

REMARKS

Claims 1-5 have previously been cancelled. Accordingly, claims 6-10 are at issue.

Claim 8, indicated to be allowable if amended to independent form to include all the limitations of its base claim and any intervening claim has been so amended. Accordingly, claim 8 is believed to be in condition for allowance.

In view of the amendments to claim 6, the rejections of claims 6-7 and 9-10 based on Toyama et al and Parker are respectfully traversed.


More specifically, claim 6 has been amended to correct a typographical error by deleting the word "is" and to distinguish both Toyama and Parker by clarifying that the first and second wavelengths of the respective first and second sections have a series arrangement, rather than the parallel arrangement of wavelengths shown in Toyama et al and Parker. It is respectfully submitted that the series relationship now recited in amended claim 6 is neither shown nor suggested by either Toyama et al or Parker which only show sections having wavelengths that are parallel to each other, rather than in series. Accordingly, it is believed that amended independent claim 6 and its dependent claims 7 and 9-10 should be allowed.

In view of the foregoing, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the objection to claim 8 and the rejections of claims 6-7 and 9-10, allowance of the case is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

WOOD, PHILLIPS, KATZ,
CLARK & MORTIMER

By



Jeffery N. Fairchild
Reg. No. 37,825

February 16, 2006

500 West Madison Street
Suite 3800
Chicago, IL 60661
(312) 876-1800